What is the “Gallagher Amendment” and How will it impact Coloradans in 2021?
AUDIENCE POLL!

What percent of total statewide property tax collections benefit local school districts?
What is property tax used for?

- Property taxes pay for local government services. 50% of property tax revenues were used to fund local K-12 school districts.

- Property tax revenues do NOT pay for any state services like highways, prisons, or higher education. Colorado hasn’t imposed a state level property tax since 1964.
How is my property tax calculated?

Property Tax Revenue = \[ \text{Assessed Property Value} \times \text{Mill Levy} \]

Assessed Property Value
(The taxable value of property.)

\[ \text{Base Property Value} \times \text{Assessment Rate} \]

Mill Levy
(The rate of taxation approved by local voters.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class</th>
<th>Assessment Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Fluctuates, currently 7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Assessed</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Land</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Mil = $1 of tax for each $1,000 of a property’s “Assessed Value”
How is my property tax calculated?

$2,160
Annual Property Tax Revenue

= $36,000
Assessed Value

Assessed Property Value
(The taxable value of property.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base Property Value</th>
<th>Assessment Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$500k</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mill Levy
60 mils

1 Mil = $1 of tax for each $1,000 of a property’s “Assessed Value”
The Gallagher Amendment froze the ratio of the total value of Non-Residential and Residential property to 1982 levels, so that Residential property in Colorado would always constitute approximately 45% of the total property valuation.
What prompted the Gallagher Amendment?

Gallagher was the culmination of a property tax revolt that began in Colorado in the late 1970’s as a result of Colorado’s robust population growth.
Actual Residential & Non-residential Values Statewide

National Subprime Mortgage Crisis
In 1982, when Coloradans adopted the Gallagher Amendment to our state constitution, RESIDENTIAL property in the state constituted about 45% of the total assessed valuation of ALL property statewide.

What percent of total statewide assessed valuation does residential property constitute today?
Residential Property currently makes up about 80% of total property values in Colorado.

BUT... Gallagher limits Residential property value to represent only 45% of total property value.
If Gallagher requires that the total value of all Residential property MUST STAY at 45%...

Assessed Property Value  
(The taxable value of property.)

Base Property Value  
(market value)  
\( \times \)  
Assessment Rate  
\[ \times 45\% \]

And Residential Property values are growing FASTER than Non-Residential values,  
Then the only way to maintain the 45% ratio is to lower the Assessment Rate for Residential Property.
The Gallagher Amendment has formulaically forced a reduction of the Residential Assessment Rate since Coloradans adopted it in 1982.

In 1983, the Residential Rate was 21%.

What is the Residential Rate today?
When the growth in value of Residential property in the state outpaces the growth in the value of Non-Residential property, the only way to maintain Gallagher’s forced “45:55” ratio is to reduce the Residential Assessment Rate.
EXAMPLE:
The property tax collected on a $300,000 house in a local taxing district that collects 60 mills would be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1981</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market Value of house</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Residential Assessment Rate</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>7.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Mill Levy rate (60 mills)</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Property Tax Collected</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
<td>$1,287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A reduction in over $4,000 in property tax/revenue.)

Correspondingly, the Non-Residential assessment rate has grown relative to the Residential rate over this same period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1981</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market Value of commercial property</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Assessment Rate</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>29% (fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Property Tax Collected</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
<td>$5,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Residential rate as % of Residential Rate</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>403%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How will Gallagher impact the NEXT Residential property tax assessment rate calculation in 2021?
Factors to Consider:

1. Change in total market value of RESIDENTIAL property
2. Change in total market value of NON-Residential property

If the market value of Residential property relative to Non-residential property....

**DOESN’T CHANGE...**

2018 = 2020

Then Gallagher WON’T CHANGE the Residential Assessment Rate.

**INCREASES...**

2018 < 2020

Then Gallagher WILL REDUCE the Residential Assessment Rate.

**DECREASES...**

2018 > 2020

Then Gallagher SHOULD increase the Residential Assessment Rate, but CAN’T because of TABOR.
Statewide Value of Residential vs. NON-residential Property (1983-2018)

How will the market value of Residential property CHANGE relative to the market value of NON-residential property in the current 2-year “reassessment” process?
The 2021 RAR will be based on the value of RESIDENTIAL property during the 2-year period from July 2018 thru June 2020.

The current recession which began in March/April likely won’t impact Residential values because, though home sales have slowed, values haven’t yet declined.

Therefore, we can expect the 2021 “Residential” valuation to reflect its historically high trend through June 2020.
The 2021 RAR will be based on the value of NON-Residential property during TWO different timeframes, which will be affected differently by the current recession.

**COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS**
- Makes up 55% of “NON-Residential” property value.
- Will be valued on the same 2-year timeframe as Residential property.

**OTHER NON-residential property**
- Makes up 45% of “NON-Residential” property value.
- Will be valued on the 12-MONTH period of 2020.
- “Oil & Gas” constitutes almost 40% of OTHER NON-Residential property value.
The 2021 RAR will be based on the value of **COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS** during the 2-year period from July 2018 thru June 2020.

Because commercial office space is largely valued by rental rates, and since 20% of the commercial space in downtown Denver is rented to oil and gas companies, the unprecedented crash in oil prices in January (which will represent 6 months of the 24-month appraisal window) will adversely impact those commercial values.

Additionally, the first 4 months of the recession will impact all commercial property.

Therefore, we may expect **COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS** (which make up 55% of “NON-Residential” value) to reduce the value of NON-Residential property by 4-6%.
The 2021 RAR will be based on the value of **OTHER NON-residential** property for the 12 MONTHS of 2020.

The current recession (which will occur for 75% of the 12-month period and will likely get worse through the remainder of 2020) will adversely impact the value of “OTHER NON-residential” property.

Additionally, since 40% of the value of “OTHER NON-residential” values is made up of oil and gas production, the oil crash (which will effect the entire 2020 valuation period) will likely also have a significant adverse impact on these property values.

Given the impact of both the oil crash (for the entire 12-month valuation period) and the current recession (for 75% of the 12-month period), we may expect “OTHER NON-residential” property to reduce the value of NON-Residential property by an additional 4-6%, for a total drop in “NON-Residential property value of perhaps 10%.
Projected Value of RESIDENTIAL and NON-Residential Property in 2020

The resulting INCREASE in the value of Residential property relative to the value of NON-residential property will prompt the Gallagher Amendment to force an equivalent reduction in the Residential Assessment Rate in order to ensure that Residential property doesn’t constitute more than about 45% of total statewide property value.
How much will Gallagher force the Residential Assessment Rate to go down in 2021?

(all values below shown in Millions of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>NON-residential</th>
<th>RESIDENTIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTUAL Value (market)</td>
<td>ASSESSED Value (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>224,856</td>
<td>73,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>200,000 (est)</td>
<td>64,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the value of Residential property will increase in 2020 relative to the value of NON-residential property, Gallagher will force the Residential Assessment Rate to be reduced in order to maintain the constitutional requirement that Residential property only constitutes about 45% of total statewide property value.
So, the answer to our initial question: “How will Gallagher impact the NEXT Residential property tax assessment rate calculation in 2021?”

(DISCLAIMER: This is just Reeves’ unprofessional opinion and does not represent actual calculations by the Colo Division of Property Tax. Any similarity between numbers, real or imaginary, is purely coincidence.)

(19% reduction in the RAR)
And how does a potential 19% drop in the Residential Assessment Rate affect YOU?

...It depends on what county you live in, and how much your county’s tax base relies on Residential properties (rather than NON-residential properties) to pay for local services.
A potential 19% drop in the Residential Assessment Rate (RAR) would affect different counties in different ways…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>% of Total Property Tax Base made up of Residential Property</th>
<th>Impact of 19% drop in Residential Assessment Rate on Total County Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bent</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaffee</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbert</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Plata</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(These calculations assume that the average value of residential property in your county doesn’t change. If the value of residential property in your county INCREASES, then the properties’ HIGHER market value will help to offset the LOWER Residential Assessment Rate and thus mitigate the decline in total property tax revenues. Conversely, if the value of residential property in your county DECREASES, then the properties’ LOWER market value compounds the reduction in total property tax revenues caused by the LOWER Residential Assessment Rate.)
This reduction in the Residential Assessment Rate is effectively a PERMANENT cut because TABOR requires a statewide vote to ever raise the RAR ...which will likely never happen.

Local governments will be faced with 3 options:

1. Permanently reduce services accordingly to balance their budget.

2. Request local voters to raise the local mill levy enough to offset the reduction in the RAR.  
   (While this would effectively be cost-neutral for homeowners, it would be a real tax increase for all other property owners, resulting in shifting more of the property tax burden to business owners.)

3. Hope that residential property values in their county rise faster than the continued reduction in the Assessment Rate by which each property is taxed.
Voter-approved increases in local tax mill levies have shifted the property tax burden from residential owners (homeowners) to non-residential owners (businesses). In 1982, when Coloradans adopted the Gallagher Amendment, businesses paid $1.40 in property tax for every $1.00 that homeowners paid on the same value of property.

How much do businesses pay in property tax today for every $1.00 that homeowners pay?
Every time we vote to raise our local mill levy to offset Gallagher’s erosion of the Residential Assessment Rate, we increasingly shift the tax burden to the Business community.

( NOTE: This shift to business property owners occurs AUTOMATICALLY for any taxing jurisdictions which have tried to counter Gallagher’s erosion of the RAR by allowing their local mill levy to “float” upward as it was allowed to do before the adoption of TABOR.)
**LESSON LEARNED:**
You can’t get something for nothing.
(Who knew?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IF YOU...</th>
<th>WINNER</th>
<th>LOSER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keep the Gallagher Amendment (which formulaically reduces the Residential Assessment Rate)</td>
<td>Homeowners (who pay less property tax)</td>
<td>Local service providers / Public (who have less tax revenue to support public svcs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass a local mill levy increase to offset Gallagher’s erosion of the RAR</td>
<td>Local service providers / Public (who receive more tax revenue to support public svcs)</td>
<td>Businesses (who pay more property tax and assume a larger share of the property tax burden)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How does Gallagher uniquely affect rural communities?

1. Rural communities realize the same erosion in their Residential property tax base but they don’t enjoy the same subsequent growth in market value to mitigate that erosion.

2. Rural communities have a much smaller commercial property tax base which must bear a disproportionately larger share of the property tax burden whenever voters increase the local mill levy.

3. Because of the smaller number of commercial properties in rural communities (e.g. one hardware store) and the economic interdependence between those businesses, the loss of even one of those businesses potentially threatens the ability of others to survive.
Gallagher Amendment Conclusion

• The Gallagher Amendment will continue to erode the Residential tax rate and corresponding tax base which funds local public services.

• Rural communities and residential metro communities will suffer the most loss of local revenues.

• Any efforts to raise local mill levies to offset Gallagher’s erosion of the RAR will continue to shift the tax burden from homeowners to the business community.

• Because Gallagher is embedded into our state constitution, ONLY THE VOTERS can change this policy if they want to.
In your opinion, considering that the Gallagher Amendment has forced the Residential Assessment Rate to be reduced from 21% in 1982 to 7.15% today, what do you think is the lowest acceptable rate of property tax that homeowners should be allowed to pay?
“Building a Better Colorado” 2019 Statewide Consensus Opinion of Gallagher Options

- **37 communities across Colorado**
- **1800 diverse community leaders**

| Do Nothing | Repeal Gallagher | Uncouple Gallagher & TABOR | Redefine "Residential" | Replace "Statewide" w/"Regional"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-100</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>28.26</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>20.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-80</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>17.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-60</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>20.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-40</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>36.42</td>
<td>32.68</td>
<td>17.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>29.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>28.26</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>29.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>70.92</td>
<td>36.42</td>
<td>32.68</td>
<td>17.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>43.55</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>29.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>32.68</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>29.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>36.42</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>29.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>15.54</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>29.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Support = 72%
- Democrat = 73%
- Republican = 66%
- Unaffiliated = 70%
What does the proposed “Gallagher Repeal” ballot measure do?

1. Repeals the “Gallagher Amendment” from the constitution, thus stopping the continued formulaic erosion of the Residential assessment rate and freezing it at the current 7.15%.

2. Repeals the constitution’s reference to a “21%” Residential assessment rate which was the initial baseline rate established in 1982.

3. Repeals from the constitution the assessment rates for all OTHER classes of NON-residential property, thus leaving all property tax rates to be referenced only in STATUTE which would allow the legislature to potentially REDUCE any of those assessment rates in the future.

(NOTE: The measure does not raise or lower any tax assessment rate for any class of property. Per TABOR, only the voters can raise any tax rate.)
For more information, visit BBCO online at

www.buildingabettercolorado.org

Reeves Brown, Project Manager

reeves@betterco.org
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